caudales postici ac in Dercothoe." He adds, "the genus is near Erichthonius (Edwards), if not identical with it. The stress which is laid by Milne-Edwards on the rudimentary character of the epimerals of the anterior thoracic segments, and his reference of his species to the Corophidæ or gressorial Amphipods, leads us to doubt the identity. The posterior stylets have the same form as in Dercothoe, and the form of the head, the projecting eyes, and general habit, are nearly as in that genus. The approximation is so close, that the genera are evidently of one and the same group; we have no evidence in the antennæ, caudal stylets, or legs, that the species in every case are gressorial. The antennæ are slender, with long flagella. The epimerals are broader than in some Gammari. The caudal stylets are rather long." He also says that "a female Pyctilus, bearing eggs, has been observed by the author, which has the same form of hands as is characteristic of the group Erichthonius," and that "in this genus as well as the preceding, the first joint of the legs of the fifth and sixth pairs is very broad, while that of the seventh is narrow."

Spence Bate makes Erichthonius and Pyctilus, and inclines to make Dercothoë, synonymous with Cerapus, Say. Boeck puts them all three under that genus, which S. I. Smith has shown to be distinct from them all. S. I. Smith unites Dercothoë and Pyctilus as synonyms of Erichthonius, but still without noticing the breadth of the side-plates in (some at least of) Dana's species, which, as Dana himself observes, makes the identification with Erichthonius doubtful. In the work of Bate and Westwood, vol. i. p. 453, Dana is supposed to have "founded his genus Pyctilus upon a misconception of the figure of Erichthonius difformis," but Dana clearly alludes not to the mistake in the figure, but to the express words of the generic account, "l'état rudimentaire des pièces épimériennes des premiers anneaux du thorax," in the Hist. des Crust., vol. iii. p. 59.

Dana's species are named Dercothoe emissitius, previously Gammarus emissitius; Dercothoe speculans, previously "Amphithoe peculans (by mistake for speculans)"; Dercothoe's hirsuticornis, previously Gammarus hirsuticornis; Pyctilus macrodactylus, previously Erichthonius? macrodactylus; Pyctilus pugnax, previously Erichthonius pugnax; Pyctilus brasiliensis.

In Family 1. Hyperidæ, Subfam. 2. Hyperinæ, contains Lestrigonus ferus; Lestrigonus fuscus; Lestrigonus rubescens; Lestrigonus Fabreii? Edwards; all which may perhaps belong to the genus Hyperia; the genus Metæcus, Kröyer, ought, Dana thinks, to be merged in Hyperia, to which he assigns the species Hyperia agilis; Hyperia trigona. The genus Tauria is thus defined:—

"Antennæ quatuor breves, basi approximatæ, 1mæ crassiusculæ. Pedes nulli subcheliformes nec subprehensiles, 7mi vix abbreviati," with the type-species, Tauria macrocephala.

The new genus Cyllopus is thus defined :-

"Tauriæ affinis. Pedes 7mi valde abbreviati. Antennæ 1mæ et 2dæ ad basin inter se remotæ," with the type-species Cyllopus magellanicus.

Daira? debilis, Daira? depressa, Daira inæquipes, are at page 1596 transferred to the generic name Dairilia (not Dairinia, as in the B. M. C. and elsewhere), Daira being preoccupied; but if Bovallius, 1885, is right in assigning Dana's species, not to Daira, Milne-Edwards, but to Thamyris, Spence Bate, among the Lycæidæ, the name Dairilia, Dana, will displace Thamyris, by right of priority. The definition given by Dana is as follows:—

"Antennæ 1mæ non conspicuæ, 2dæ exsertæ. Pedes 1mi 2dique plus minusve prehensiles: tarsi pedum reliquorum breves. Rami stylorum caudalium longi." It is placed in the second division of the subfamily, which have "Antennæ totæ breves. Caput oculique pergrandes."

Subfam. 3. Synopinæ, contains the single genus Synopia, with the further definition, "Frons subacutus. Antennæ 4 longæ, apertæ, 1mæ appendiculutæ. Pedes 2 antici subcheliformes; proximi duo vergiformes, quatuor sequentes subprehensiles, digito 2-articulato; reliqui mediocres, unguiculati." Claus observes that this genus belongs to the Gammarina,