ou l'absence des ailes, leur nombre, et leurs tégumens ; Fabricius, uniquement d'après leurs organes de la mastication ou de la déglutition. Nous adopterons une méthode combinée d'après ces trois points de vue, de manière à faire connoître les classes établies par ces trois auteurs, et nous les subdiviserons jusqu'à ce que les réunions de genres nous paroissent entièrement naturelles." This notice is followed by a chapter headed "Des insectes pourvus de mâchoires, et sans ailes." In this order he includes-"A. Les crustacés, qui ont plusieurs paires de mûchoires. (AGONATA, Fabr.)." "B. Les MILLEPIEDS, qui ont le corps composé de beaucoup de segmens, portant des pieds, mais qui n'ont pas plusieurs mâchoires. (Mitosata, Fabr.)." "C. Les ARACNÉIDES: une seule pièce pour la tête et le (UNOGATA, Fabr.)." corselet, portant huit pieds; l'abdomen sans pieds. PHTYRÉIDES: à tête distincte; corselet portant six pieds; abdomen sans pieds." Section A. comprises—"I. LES MONOCLES. (Monoculus)." "II. LES ECREVISSES. (Cancer)." "III. LES CLOPORTES. (Oniscus, Lin.)." These divisions are again divided and subdivided, but in none is any reference of any kind made to the Amphipoda, a curious omission on the part of an author on terms of intimacy, as he explains in his preface, both with Fabricius and Latreille. Among "LES ECREVISSES proprement dites. (ASTACUS, Fabr.)," are included "Le homar. (Cancer gammarus, Lin.)" and "La crevette ou salicoque. (C. squilla, Lin.)," two stalk-eyed Crustacea, in describing which, the names gammarus and crevette might naturally have called Cuvier's attention to the sessile-eyed legion, especially as in regard to the insects he says that Fabricius has helped him with the mouth-organs, "et. en général, il a bien voulu parcourir toute cette portion de l'ouvrage, et m'aider de ses conseils."

## 1798. FABRICIUS, J. C.

## Supplementum Entomologiæ Systematicæ. Hafniæ, MDCCXCVIII.

In the preface Fabricius says "Agonatorum classem imprimis et nomine et charactere e speciminibus bene conservatis ab amicissimo Daldorssio ex India orientali allatis mutavi, divisi et classes magis naturales characteresque firmiores obtinui." He is here referring to Baron Dagobert Carl de Daldors.

The Agonata no longer appear, but in their place Classis VIII. Polygonata, "maxilla plures intra labium," containing Oniscus, Ligia, Idotea, Cymothoa, and Monoculus; Classis IX. Kleistagnatha, "Maxilla plures extra labium os claudentes," the genera beginning with Cancer and ending with Limulus; Classis X. Exochnata, "Maxilla plures extra labium tectre palpis," the genera included being Albunea, Scyllarus, Palinurus, Palamon, Alpheus, Astacus, Penæus, Crangon, Pagurus, Galathea, Squilla, Posydon, Gammarus. The old definition of Gammarus is given, based only on the antennæ; and a single species, "Gammarus Homari," is thus described :- "15. Corporis segmentis dorso subspinosis, cauda fasciculata : stylis serratis. Astacus Homari Ent. Syst. 2. 481. 10. Stroem. Act. Hafn. 10. 5. tab. 2. Myll. Zool. Dom. 197. 2358. Habitat in Oceano Norwegico. Antennæ simplices haud bifidæ." These references to Ström and Müller's Zool. Dan. prodr., as earlier notices have stated, are probably concerned with Amathilla Subini, Leach, while "Astacus Homari," Fabr., has apparently nowhere found admittance into the ranks of the Amphipoda. Milne-Edwards and Spence Bate do not include it in their lists, Boeck definitely, de Skand. og Arkt. Amph., p. 38, rejects it from his. But from the fact that Fabricius here singles it out as an example of the genus Gammarus, it is not unreasonable to suppose that he had changed his mind about its systematic position, especially as we find him adding the remark, "antennæ simplices haud bifidæ," as though to correct an error in his previous description, which contains the expression, "antennis posticis bifidis." By antennis posticis Fabricius apparently means the upper antennæ, not, as might more naturally be supposed,