Saccopharynx pelecanoides.

Eurypharynx pelecanoides, Vaillant, Comptes rendus, 1882, vol. xcv. p. 1226.1

Both jaws possess merely feeble dental granulations, but the lower jaw is armed in front with a pair of slender curved teeth (2 mm. long). The length of the jaws is about one-half of that of the body. The origin of the dorsal fin is nearer to the end of the snout than to the vent; neither the dorsal nor the anal fin reach the end of the tail (which terminates in a small skinny lobe). Gill-opening a very small round opening. No bluish-white line along the back.

Known from a specimen, 18½ inches long, of which the body takes about 6 inches; it was captured during the cruise of the French surveying vessel "Travailleur," on the coast of Morocco, at a depth of 2300 meters. The tail has much the appearance of having been mutilated during the life of the fish.²

Saccopharynx bairdii.

Saccopharynx flagellum, Goode and Bean, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., vol. x., 1883, p. 223; Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., vol. vii., 1885, p. 65.

Gastrostomus bairdii, Gill and Ryder, Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., vol. vii., 1885, p. 271.

Jaws with minute acute conical teeth, depressed inwards in a very narrow band, without fangs at the extremity of the lower jaw. The length of the jaws is more than one-half of that of the body (distance of the snout from the anal fin). The origin of the dorsal fin is nearer to the end of the snout than to the origin of the anal; neither the dorsal nor the anal fin reach the end of the tail. Gill-openings narrow. No bluish-white line along the back.

This form is based on four specimens obtained by the North American surveying vessels between lat. 35° and 40° N., and long. 65° and 74° W., at depths of 389, 898, 1309, and 1467 fathoms. One of these specimens is $18\frac{1}{2}$ inches long, of which the body apparently takes $6\frac{1}{2}$ inches. It is uncertain whether, these specimens are specifically distinct from Saccopharynx pelecanoides; indeed, Mr. Jordan, in referring to the fishes of this genus says with very good reason "the species are little known and possibly all forms of a single one."

¹ The typical specimen has been figured in a woodcut in "La Nature," 1883.

² Whilst this sheet is passing through the press, we learn from Perrier, Les Explorations Sous-Marines, Paris, 1886, 8°, p. 56, that a second specimen was discovered by the naturalists of the "Talisman" off Mogador. No mention is made of any differences which may exist between the two specimens.

³ Catalogue of the Fishes known to inhabit the Waters of North America, 1885, p. 57.