Similar individual descriptions became gradually more numerous, and up to 1860 the following may be noted as most important:—Dactylocalyx pumiceus, Stuchbury, 1841, Euplectella aspergillum, Owen, 1841, Farrea sp., Owen, 1857, Aphrocallistes beatrix, Gray, 1858, and Myliusia callocyathus, Gray, 1859.

A more profound study of the skeletal structure of *Hyalonema sieboldii* was made in 1860 by Max Schultze.⁶ He also discovered, in those spicules which did not externally exhibit a cruciate or stellate, but merely a simple rod-like form, an intersection of the axial canals in a median swelling, which indicated the fundamental stellate type of all the spicules. He was also the first to discover the close affinity of *Hyalonema* and *Euplectella*, which, on account of the common character of the spicular tuft, he united in the group "Lophospongiæ."

Bowerbank⁷ (1862) was less fortunate in his perception of the affinities of the Hexactinellid genera known to him, viz., Alcyoncellum (Euplectella, Owen), Quoy and Gaimard, Hyalonema, Gray, Dactylocalyx, Stuchbury, and Farrea, Bowerbank. For while he placed the genus Alcyoncellum, Quoy and Gaimard (with Euplectella, Owen, in parenthesis), in his suborder Silicea with spiculo-radiate skeletons, between Ecionema, Bowerbank, and Polymastia, Bowerbank, he referred the genus Hyalonema, Gray, to another quite different suborder, characterised by spiculo-reticulate skeletons, between Halichondria, Flemming, and Isodictya, Bowerbank. Of each of the two genera, Dactylocalyx, Stuchbury (=Iphiteon, Mus. Paris), and Farrea, Bowerbank, he made, on the other hand, a special suborder, of which the former was characterised chiefly by solid siliceo-fibrous, and the second (Farrea) by canaliculated siliceo-fibrous skeletons.

In Gray's System of Sponges, which appeared in 1867, the Hexactinellida then known were not yet united into a common group. For while Gray placed the family of the Euplectellidæ, consisting of Alcyoncellum and the closely allied Euplectella, with his Esperiadæ and Tethydæ, in the order of the Acanthospongiæ (with spicules of more than one form or kind in the same Sponge) and within the subsection Spiculospongiæ (with free spicules), on the other hand he united the family of the Aphrocallistidæ, consisting of the genus Aphrocallistes, with the family of the Dactylocalycidæ, including Dactylocalyx, Stuchbury, Myliusia, Gray, MacAndrewia, Gray, and Farrea, Bowerbank, in a special order, "Corallispongiæ," within the subsection "Dictyospongiæ" (in which the skeleton is formed of a continuous siliceous or horny network). The Corallispongiæ were characterised by Gray as:—"Hard, coral-like Sponges, entirely formed of siliceous spicules, anchylosed together by siliceous matter into a network. Mass covered with a thin coat of sarcode when alive."

¹ Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., vol. ix. pp. 86, 87.

³ Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond., vol. xxii. pp. 117-124.

⁶ Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., vol. xxvii. pp. 437-440.

⁷ Phil. Trans., vol. clii. 2 pp. 747, 830, 1087.

² Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., vol. ix. pp. 3-5.

⁴ Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., vol. xxvi. pp. 114, 115.

⁶ Die Hyalonemen, 1860, 4.

⁸ Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., 1867, pp. 117, 492, 1001.