The ambulacral tube-feet are crowded and form four alternating rows. They have a more or less button-like fleshy termination.

The terminal plate is small, smooth, and rounded anteriorly.

I have failed to detect the presence of pedicellarize of any kind in this starfish.

Colour in alcohol, a light brownish yellow, excepting the smooth naked primary plates and median radial plates, which are white. The elongate spines of the marginal and actinal intermediate plates are white.

Locality.—Station 204. Off Tablas Island, Philippine group. November 2, 1874. Lat. 12° 43′ 0″ N., long. 122° 9′ 0″ E. Depth 100 fathoms. Green mud. Surface temperature 84° 0 Fabr.

Remarks.—Pholidaster squamatus is nearly related to Pholidaster distinctus, and the differences are discussed in the description of that species. These two remarkable forms are altogether unlike any other starfish with which I am acquainted.

## 2. Pholidaster distinctus, n. sp. (Pl. LXVII. fig. 7).

Rays five. R = 70 mm.; r = 7.25 mm. R > 9.5 r. Breadth of a ray near the base, 7.25 mm.

Although this form is very nearly allied to *Pholidaster squamatus*, it appears to be a well-marked species. Its general habit, however, accords so closely with the preceding description that it seems to me more satisfactory to give a comparative review of the points wherein the two differ than a long description, which would be in a large measure a repetition of what has gone before.

The general form of the rays is the same, but in Pholidaster distinctus the disk is not higher than the base of the rays and is not convex. The median radial series of plates are distinctly convex, forming a broad slightly elevated band along the ray. The breadth of the median radial series is rather greater than in Pholidaster squamatus. The appearance of the disk is very different in consequence of the under-basals being exposed, and nearly as large as the primary radials; the median radial series of plates thus extending uninterruptedly from the dorso-central plate to the extremity. Smooth basal plates, margined by scales, are also present. The supero-marginal plates are in like manner naked and margined only by a single series of scales on their outer margin. This produces a very strongly marked superficial difference between the two forms, as the superomarginal plates in Pholidaster squamatus are entirely masked by scales. The lateral spines and the large single spines on the actinal intermediate plates are relatively smaller in Pholidaster distinctus than in Pholidaster squamatus. Furthermore, there are not more than two longitudinal series of spines borne on the actinal intermediate plates in the former, whereas there are three in the latter, and one of these is often small and aborted on the outer part of the ray.

In the armature of the adambulacral plates the spinelets on the ridge of the prominent