

8. *Voluta (Alcithoe) gracilis*, Swainson.

- Cymbiola gracilis*, Swainson, Journ. Science, vol. xvii. p. 32, Exot. Conch., p. 20, pl. xlvi.
Voluta fusus (?) Quoy and Gaimard, "Astrolabe," Zool., vol. iii. p. 627, pl. xliv. figs. 7, 8.
 „ „ *gracilis*, Reeve, Conch. Icon., 1849, vol. vi. pl. xvii. fig. 40.
 „ „ *fusus* (?) Kiener, Iconog., p. 46 (remarks on *Voluta elongata*), and pl. xlvi. fig. 2.
 „ „ (*Scapha*) *gracilis*, Angas, Distrib. Voluta Austral. Seas, Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., 1864, p. 52, sp. 12.
 „ „ *gracilis*, v. Martens, Crit. List. N. Zeal. Moll., p. 22.
 „ „ „ Hutton, Manual, p. 62.
 „ „ „ Crosse, Cat. Voluta, Journ. de conch., 1871, vol. xix. p. 295, No. 52.
 „ „ (*Scapha*) *gracilis*, Kobelt, Catalog Voluta, Jahrb. deutsch. malak. Gesellsch., 1877, p. 309, No. 52.

Station 167A. June 27, 1874. Lat. $41^{\circ} 4' S.$, long. $174^{\circ} 19' E.$ Queen Charlotte Sound, Cook Strait, New Zealand. 10 fathoms. Mud.

Habitat.—Pacific (Swainson), New Zealand (Angas and Hutton).

The Challenger specimen is young, and has the ribs finer, more prolonged, and more numerous than the British Museum specimens; but is, I have no doubt, the species these represent, which it may be well to observe is not *Voluta gracilis* of Wood (Ind. Test. p. 209, Suppl., pl. iii. fig. 2) = *Voluta magellanica*, Sow.—(if not a variety of that of Chemnitz, which Mr Hanley denies)—nor yet the *Voluta gracilis* of Gray in Griffiths' An. King., vol. xii. pp. 72 and 601, pl. xi. fig. 4, which seems to be a variety of *Voluta pacifica*, Solander. Sowerby (Thes. Conch., vol. i. pt. 5, p. 206, sp. 32, pl. lv. figs. 117, 118) seems to have had before him this species figured by Gray (*loc. cit.*), and not that of Swainson. This was, I think, also the case with Cox (Distrib. Austral. Volutes, p. 21, sp. 45), and with Tryon (Manual, vol. iv. p. 94, pl. xxviii. fig. 99). I have quoted Quoy and Gaimard above for *Voluta fusus*, and also Kiener with hesitation for both. Küster (Conch. Cab., p. 159, pl. xxxvi. fig. 4) and Kobelt (Jahrb., p. 309) regard that species as the young of *Voluta elongata*, Swain. (which the latter further regards as the young of *Voluta pacifica*, Sol.) At the same time, the figures of Quoy and Gaimard seem rather to suggest *Voluta gracilis* than *Voluta elongata*. In referring to Sowerby's *Voluta gracilis*, Thes. Conch. (*loc. cit.*), it may be well to call attention to the extraordinary confusion of his reference there to Kiener.

9. *Voluta (Volutilithes) abyssicola*, Adams and Reeve (Pl. XV. fig. 1).

- Voluta abyssicola*, Adams and Reeve, Zool. "Samarang," Moll., p. 25, pl. vii. fig. 6.
 „ „ (*Volutilithes*) *abyssicola*, Adams, Genera, vol. i. p. 167, vol. ii. p. 618, vol. iii. pl. xvii. fig. 8.
 „ „ „ Chenu, Manuel, vol. i. p. 190, fig. 980.
 „ „ *abyssicola*, Reeve, Conch. Icon., 1849, vol. vi. pl. xxii. fig. 58.
 „ „ „ Sowerby, Thes. Conch., pt. 23, p. 270, sp. 59, pl. cclx. fig. 124.
 „ „ „ Crosse, Cat. Voluta, Journ. de conch., 1871, p. 305.
 „ „ „ v. Martens, Südafrik. Moll., Jahrb. deutsch. malak. Gesellsch., 1874, p. 140.
 „ „ (*Volutilithes*) *abyssicola*, Kobelt, Catalog Voluta, Jahrb. deutsch. malak. Gesellsch., 1877, p. 312.
 „ „ „ Tryon, Manual, vol. iv. p. 100, pl. xxix. fig. 120.
 „ „ „ Watson, Prelim. Report, pt. 12, Journ. Linn. Soc. Lond., vol. xvi. p. 327.