Of the forty-eight species here enumerated, all but about half a dozen have been brought to light by the Challenger researches, and of the genera over which these species are distributed thirteen out of forty-three are new.

It may be convenient here to group together the names of the thirty-one new genera established in this Report:—

Cheirimedon.

Platamon.

Onesimoides.

Sophrosyne.

Cyclocaris.

Socarnoides.

Acontiostoma.

Kerguelenia.

Valettia.

Cardenio.

Platyischnopus.

Œdiceroides.

Zaramilla.

Acanthechinus.

Atyloides.

Atylopsis.

Harpinioides.

Stenopleura.

Cleonardo

Eusiroides.

Synopioides.

Parelasmopus.

Dryopoides.

Paradryope.

Camacho.

Chosroës.

Dodecas.1

Caprellinoides.

Protellopsis.

Sympronoë.

Streetsia.

The new generic names proposed in the Report in exchange for older but preoccupied names of valid genera are as follows:—Caprellinopsis for Caprellina, G. M. Thomson; Eusceliotes for Euscelus, Claus; Lysianax for Lysianassa, Milne-Edwards; Pariambus for Podalirius, Krøyer; Phorcorrhaphis for Phorcus, Milne-Edwards; Phoxocephalus for Phoxus, Krøyer; Priscillina for Priscilla, Boeck. Haustorius, Müller, is reinstated in place of Lepidactylis, Say, Phtisica, Slabber, in place of Proto, Leach, and Scina, Prestandres, in place of Tyro, Milne-Edwards, and Clydonia, Dana. Æginella, Boeck, is taken to include the preoccupied Ægina, Krøyer. For Constantia, Dybowsky, Costantia, a form accidentally occurring in Dybowsky's own work, is adopted. For Eurytenes, Lilljeborg, Eurythenes is accepted from the pen of Professor S. I. Smith. For Dryope, Sp. Bate, although preoccupied, no alternative name is for the present offered, its relationship to the new genus Dryopoides requiring further consideration.

Of the hundred and eighty species which the Report describes as new, it is possible that a few come too near to older species to deserve specific distinction. Especially in the genera *Hippomedon*, *Leucothoë*, and *Aora* my suspicions are aroused that I may have introduced some unnecessary names; but such errors of judgment, if found to exist, will also, I hope, be found to be few.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This genus was first published in a preliminary notice in 1883.