

- "*Gammarus pulex*  
" *Krebsförmiger Wasserwurm*, FRISCH, Ins.  
VII, p. 26, Tab. 18.
- "*Squilla pulex*, DE GEER, Mémoires, VII,  
p. 525, Tab. 33 (excl. synon.).
- "*Gammarus pulex*, LATR., Règne anim. IV,  
p. 120 (excl. citat. Linnæana).
- "*Gammarus pulex*, DESMAREST, Consid., p.  
266, Tab. 45, fig. 8, 8a (excl. synon.).
- "*Gammarus pulex*, ZENKER, Comment. fig.  
A, C seqq. (excl. synon.).
- "*Gammarus pulex*, GERVAIS, Ann. des Sc.  
nat., 2me série, IV, p. 128.
- "*Gammarus fluvialis et pulex*, MILNE ED-  
WARDS, Crust. III, p. 45 et 48 (pro  
parte et excl. synon.).
- "*Gammarus fluvialis*, MILNE EDWARDS,  
Cuv. Règne anim., éd. ill., Crust., Tab.  
60, fig. 1.
- "*Gammarus fossarum*, KOCH, Deutschl.  
Crust., Arachn., und Myriap., Heft. 5,  
Taf. 1.
- "*Gammarus fluvialis*, ZADDACH, Prodromus  
synops. Crust. Pruss. p. 6.
- "*Gammarus pulex*, HOSIUS, WIEGM. Arch.  
1850, I, p. 233.
- "*Gammarus aquaticus*, LEACH, Linn. Trans.  
XI. 359. ?
- "*Gammarus Roeselii* GERV.  
"*Squilla fluvialis*, ROESEL, III, Tab. 62.  
"*Cancer locusta*, L., SULZER, Insect., fig.  
152.
- "*Crevettes des ruisseaux*, GEOFFROY, Insect.  
II, pag. 667, Tab. 21, fig. 6.
- "*Gammarellus pulex*, HERBST, Krabben und  
Krebse, II, pag. 132, Tab. 36, fig. 4, 5.
- "*Gammarus pulex*, LATREILLE, Hist. natur.  
des Crust. et des Ins., VI, pag. 316, Tab.  
57, fig. 1.
- "*Gammarus Roeselii*, GERVAIS, l. l.
- "*Gammarus pulex*, KOCH, libr. 1. Heft. 36.  
Taf. 21.
- "*Gammarus Roeselii*, HOSIUS, l.l."
- (In reference to this list he notes that Sulzer's  
and Geoffroy's figures are copied from  
Roesel's figure.)

Zenker's varieties of *Gammarus pulex*, *longicaudatus* and *brevicaudatus*, Burgersdijk says he has found mixed together (promiscue). After giving his reasons in full for the synonymy to *Gammarus pulex*, he adds, "Neque LINNÆUM neque FABRICIUM citavi, quia plures species confundebant, sic in LINNÆI Syst. Nat. Ed. XII, *Cancer pulex*, teste synonymia, continet *Gammarum Roeselii*, *Gammarum* hunc pulicem, et *Orchestia* speciem; *Gammarus Roeselii* etiam sub nomine *Cancris locusta* militat; in FABRICII Ent. Syst. II, pag. 516 sub *Gammaro locusta* et *pulice* similis est confusio." From want of materials he refrains from discussing *Gammarus puteanus*, KOCH, called by Gervais *Gammarus pulex minutus*, and concludes the subject with the remark, "si tribus hisce speciebus, *G. Roeselii*, *pulici*, *puteano* additur *G. ambulans* FR. MÜLLER (WIEGM. Arch. 1846, I, pag. 296), nulla omissa erit e speciebus aquæ dulcis, quæ hucusque in Europa repertæ sunt." Here however he reckons without the *Gammarus (Niphargus) pungens* from the warm springs in Italy, of which we find notice in Ray.

A short chapter is given on *Gammarus locusta*, for which he cites as authorities Leach, Desmarest, Kröyer, Milne-Edwards and Zaddach. He thinks that Guérin's figure in the Iconographie, Crust. Tab. 26, probably represents *locusta*, but points out that the upper antennæ in fig. 7 are shorter, in fig. 7a much longer, than the lower. Nor does he include in the synonymy Montagu's *Cancer (Gammarus) locusta*, since it has the upper antennæ much shorter than the lower.