called Antedon by de Fréminville, and Alecto by Leach; but Lamarck's authority as a zoologist, together with his description of six new species, was sufficient to make his genus more widely known than either de Fréminville's Antedon or Leach's Alecto. The very appropriate name Comatula was afterwards used by Miller, Goldfuss, de Blainville, Agassiz, and Müller; while d'Orbigny¹ gave it an increased importance by founding the family Comatulidæ. He referred to this family, however, not merely the various forms of Feather-star, both recent and fossil, in which the base of the calyx is closed below by the cirrus-bearing centro-dorsal piece, but also the remarkable genus Marsupites, which, in the adult condition at any rate, was totally devoid both of stem and of cirri. Further research has shown, however, that Marsupites represents a form of Crinoid which is altogether different from that of the Feather-stars; and it is now generally considered as the type of another family altogether, the Marsupitidæ.

The limits of d'Orbigny's family Comatulidæ have varied considerably at different times. Eugeniacrinus and its allies were referred to it by Dujardin and Hupé,² whose classification has not been adopted by their successors; whilst a variety of generic names have been proposed for the numerous fragments of fossil Comatulæ which occur in considerable abundance at certain horizons in the Jurassic and Cretaceous formations, viz., Glenotremites, Solanocrinus, Decacnemos, Decameros, Comaster, Hertha, and Geocoma. All of these, with one or two possible exceptions, find their place within de Fréminville's genus Antedon, as has been explained elsewhere.³ Some twenty-five years ago this name was revived by Mr. Norman⁴ in a more restricted sense than that in which it was proposed by de Fréminville; and this step has been generally followed, with the great advantage of simplifying the nomenclature considerably.

In Müller's earlier writings upon the subject of the Feather-stars, the names Alecto and Comatula seem to have been employed indifferently and as equivalent to one another; but he was subsequently led to distinguish two different types of Feather-star, one with five ambulacral grooves converging upon a generally central mouth, as in Pentacrinus, and the other with an excentric mouth and fewer than five disk-ambulacra. He therefore considered these as subgenera of Lamarck's original genus Comatula, and while distinguishing the first one by Leach's name Alecto, proposed to call the second type by the new designation Actinometra. Neither of these two subgenera were ever formally defined, and Müller only described three species of Actinometra. A fourth was

¹ Cours élémentaire de Paléontologie et de Géologie stratigraphique, Paris, 1852, vol. ii. fasc. 1, p. 138.

² Histoire Naturelle des Zoophytes, Échinodermes, Paris, 1862, p. 186.

³ See P. H. Carpenter, On the Genus Actinometra, Müller, with a Morphological Account of a new species (Actinometra polymorpha) from the Philippine Islands, *Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond.* (Zool.), 1879, ser. 2, vol. ii. pp. 13, 14; and also On the Genus Solanocrinus, Goldfuss, and its relations to recent Comatulæ, *Journ. Linn. Soc. Lond.* (Zool.), 1880, vol. xv. pp. 196-201.

⁴ On the Genera and Species of the British Echinodermata, pt. i., Ann. and Mag. Nat. Hist., 1865, ser. 3, vol. xv. p. 98.

⁵ Ueber die Gattung Comatula, Lam., und ihre Arten, Abhandl. d. k. Akad. d. Wiss. Berlin, 1849, p. 246.