

Habitat.—China Sea (A. Adams); Singapore and Ceylon (British Museum); Torres Strait (Brazier).

I do not know Mr Marrat's *Eulima candida* (except from his figure), but greatly doubt its distinctness from this species. The whole aspect of the shells is very much alike; the size and the slightly rounder and shorter form of the whorls are features so variable in this genus that little can be founded on them; the form of the apex, which is, perhaps, the safest of all marks, he does not compare, and the fact he refers to of the varices not extending to the apex is a feature more or less common to all varixed shells, and in *Eulima* particularly untrustworthy. In *Eulima martinii* the varix becomes evanescent and discontinuous on the upper whorls, and may easily be overlooked even when present.

2. *Eulima philippii*, Weinkauff.

Melania distorta (not of DeFrance, nor of Deshayes), Philippi, Enumeratio Moll. Sicil., vol. i. p. 158, pl. ix. fig. 10, vol. ii. p. 135 (*Eulima distorta*, as of Deshayes).

Eulima distorta (not of DeFrance, nor of Deshayes), Forbes and Hanley, Brit. Moll., vol. iii. p. 232, pl. xcii. figs. 4, 5, and pl. KK. fig. 4.

„ „ (not of DeFrance, nor of Deshayes), A. Adams in Sowerby's Thes. Conch., pt. 15, p. 793, sp. 2, pl. clxix. fig. 6.

„ „ (not of DeFrance, nor of Deshayes), Gwyn Jeffreys, Brit. Conch., vol. iv. p. 205, and vol. v. pl. lxxvii. fig. 5.

„ *philippii*, Weinkauff, Conch. Mittelmeer., vol. ii. p. 228.

„ *similis* ? (but not of d'Orbigny), Searles Wood, Crag Moll. Suppt. 1, Palæont. Soc., 1872, p. 65, pl. vii. fig. 16.

„ *distorta*, G. O. Sars, Moll. Arct. Norv., p. 210, pl. xi. fig. 23.

„ „ Monterosato, Enumerazione, p. 35.

„ *philippii*, Seguenza, Form. Terz. Calabria, pp. 264, 354.

February 10, 1873. Tenerife, Canaries. 78 fathoms.

Habitat.—From the Lofoten Islands to the Canaries and the Mediterranean, from 0 to 140 fathoms.

Fossil.—From the post-glacial beds of Norway (Sars).

A good deal of confusion has gathered round the nomenclature of this species. The confusion began with DeFrance, who (*Melaniens fossiles*, Dic. des Sciences Nat., 1823, vol. xxix. p. 468), describing the Upper Eocene species from Grignon, and distinguishing it from *Eulima nitida*, Lam.,¹ another Grignon fossil, says that he has received specimens of *Eulima distorta* from the neighbourhood of Angers, "qui ont 7 à 8 lignes de longueur," and he adds, "on trouve dans la baie de Wey-

¹ Lamarck's mistake of confounding *Eulima distorta*, DeFr., with *Eulima nitida*, Lam., lies outside of our subject.